

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/593,971             | DESSIS ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | ALEXANDER POLYANSKY    | 1735                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ALEXANDER POLYANSKY.

(2) Dr. Stefan U. Koschmeider.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

na

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed amending the instant claims to recite "dew point of above -5C" in order to overcome JP754 as stated in the previous office action dated July 12, 2010. The Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment. Application is in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexander Polyansky/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1735

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 5:15 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)